

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

THE TOTAL TION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/676,138	09/29/2000	Bruce Winker	99SC132US2	2766
7590 02/06/2003 KOPPEL & JACOBS 555 St. Charles Drive, Suite 107 Thousand Oaks, CA 91360			EXAMINER DUDEK, JAMES A	
I nousand Oak	s, CA 91500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/			
,	09/676,138	WINKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James A. Dudek	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) <u>27-43 and 48-52</u> is/are allowed.	William Consideration.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>27-43 and 40-32</u> is are anowed:	rejected					
7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,14-26 and 66-69</u> is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) 2,3,14-20 and 00-03 is are objected to estriction and/o						
Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examine	r.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/676,138

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the Wada JP patent ('937).

Per claim 1, '937 teaches a LC cell, 2, and dimming cell, 4 (or mirror as it reflects the light to create a reflective display). See abstract. The mirror has a reflective state to create a reflection type display and a transparent state for creating a transmissive display. In the reflective state, the light "is reflected by the dimming element 4".

Per claim 4, see light source 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/676,138

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 5-13, and 33-38, 44-47, 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '937.

Per claim 5-6 and 44-46, see 1 and 3, although not explicitly teaching crossed polarizers, this was a notoriously well known normally white cell, which offers improved contrast. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the cross polarizer scheme with '937 in order to create a normally white cell.

Per claim 7, it was well known to incorporate A plates between the polarizers and cell in order to improve contrast and/or viewing angle. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to place A plates between the polarizers and the cell of '937 in order to improve contrast.

Per claim 8-9 and 38, 47, diffusing elements were well known for reducing the screen door effect. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well known diffuser with '937 to decrease the screen door effect. Also the reflector is a diffusing reflector.

Per claim 10-11 and 53-54, the control system if not inherent was notoriously well Since the lights source is only switched on during transmissive mode, it follows a control circuit would be required to drive the cell to achieve this result. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to include control circuits to drive the cell to achieve the results stated in the abstract.

Per claim 12-13 and 33-37, the automatic response to ambient light was well known so as not to require the user to switch from one mode to the other if the user chooses to create such an automatic mode. That is to make something automatic was well known. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well known automatic control scheme with '937 in order to decrease the necessary user input.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 27-32, 39-43 and 48-52 are allowed:

Claims 2-3, 14-26 and 66-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,138

Art Unit: 2871

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: in re claims 27, 28, 30, 40, 48, and their associated dependent claims, the relied upon does not teach a quarter wave plate for converting linear polarized light to circular in combination with the tunable mirror creating reflecting/transmitting characteristics. In re claim 39, the art relied upon does not teach the supplementing of the reflecting light with the backlight in combination with the other limitation with claim 39.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

James A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

February 3, 2003